

BEFORE THE KERALA STATE ELECTION COMMISSION,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

PRESENT: SHRI. A. SHAJAHAN,  
STATE ELECTION COMMISSIONER

Tuesday, the 7<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2024

O.P. No. 04 of 2022

**Petitioner**

: Sudheer Chellappan,  
S/o. Chellappakurup,  
Arathy, Paravur,  
Kollam - 691 301

(Voter, Ward No.10,  
Paravur Municipality)


(By Adv. S.P. Sohanlal)

**Respondent**

: Nisha Kumari,  
Mudakkarruvila,  
Koonayil, Paravur,  
Kollam - 691 301

(Councilor, Ward No.10,  
Paravur Municipality)

(By Adv. Manoj &  
Adv. Shyamaladevi)



## ORDER

This is a petition filed by the petitioner under section 92 of Kerala Municipality Act, 1994 alleging that respondent has incurred disqualification as provided under section 91 (1) (f) Kerala Municipality Act, 1994 and thereby disqualified from holding the office of councilor of Paravur Municipality representing ward No. 10.

2. The case of the Petitioner in brief is as follows:


The petitioner is a voter of Ward No.10 of Paravur Municipality and respondent is an elected Councilor of that ward. No.10. Ext. A1 voters list would show that the petitioner is a voter of ward No. 10. Ext. A1 is the copy of the voters list in respect of part 1 of ward No. 10 of Paravur Municipality and petitioner is serial No. 348, therein. Petitioner is competent to file petition as per sub-section (1) of section 92 the Kerala Municipality Act, 1994. The respondent is elected as a Councilor during the General Election held on December 2020.

3. Paravur Municipality invited quotation for printing and supply of letter pads for the use of Chairperson and Councilors of the Municipality. In response to this invitation the respondent submitted quotation before the Municipality for the said work on 01.09.2021. The Municipality accepted her quotation and that work was given to her. On 05.10.2021 the Municipality issued work order to the respondent. After completion of the said work on 07.10.2021 the respondent submitted bill for Rs.26500/- before the Municipality. The Municipality sanctioned her bill and the respondent received Rs.26500/- by way of cheque number



300143 dated 09.11.2021 from Municipality. The respondent submitted her above quotation in the name of Ambadi Printers, Paravur. The respondent acquired interest in the work done for the municipality by undertaking printing and supply of letter pads for the Municipality. Hence respondent ceased to hold office as Councilor of Municipality under Section 91 (1)(f) of the Kerala Municipalities Act and she cannot be continued as Councilor of Paravur Municipality.

4. In the objection Respondent contended that the petition is not maintainable either in law or on facts. The petitioner is former Chairman of Municipality and who contested unsuccessfully against the respondent in Ward No.10 in the General Election 2020. Respondent has not given quotation to the Municipality to print the letter pad. The documents produced by the petitioner to support his claims regarding the quotation, cash bill and the signature in the cash bill are bogus and fabricated by the petitioner with intention to disqualify the respondent.
5. Both sides were heard.
6. The evidence consists of oral depositions of PW1, PW2, PW3, PW4 and RW1 and the documents Exhibit-A1 to A5, Exhibit-X1 to X10.
7. Based on the pleadings, the following points arise for consideration:
  - i) Whether respondent has incurred disqualification as provided under section 91 (1) (f) of the Kerala Municipality Act, 1994
  - ii) Whether respondent is disqualified from holding the office of Councilor of Paravur Municipality representing ward No.10.





8. Points (i) & (ii) -PW1, the petitioner has deposed that he is a voter of Ward No.10 of Paravur Municipality. The Voters list is marked as Exhibit-A1. The respondent is an elected Councilor of Ward No.10 of Paravur Municipality in the General Election held in 2020. Paravur Municipality invited quotation for printing of letter pads on 18.08.2021. On 01.09.2021 the respondent has given signed quotation. On 05.10.2021, the work order was given to the respondent as the quotation was approved by the Municipality. She did give the bill of Rs.26500/- for completed work. She received the amount from the Municipality vide Cheque No.300143 dated 09.11.2021. She has given the quotation in the name of Ambadi Printers, Kollam with phone number 9633077876, which is her mobile number. The signature in the quotation and bill for claiming the quoted amount is that of the respondent. The information obtained from the Municipality under RTI Act, the letter dated 20.12.2021 given to Municipality and the reply by the Secretary, Municipality on 20.12.2021 are produced and marked as Exhibits-A2 & A3 respectively. The copy of the work order is marked as Exhibit-A4 and the copy of the cash bill as Exhibit-A5. Since she has entered into agreement with the Municipality after elected as a Councilor and received remuneration for the work done she is liable to be disqualified under section 91(1) (f) of Kerala Municipality Act.
9. In the cross examination, the petitioner admitted that he was a Councilor of Paravur Municipality continuously from 2001 to 2020. In the election held in 2020 he contested as an independent candidate from



ward No.10, in which the respondent defeated him. He denied the allegation that he has produced the bogus documents.

10. PW2, the Secretary of Paravur Municipality has produced the File bearing No. G2 9204/12 having pages 1-27 showing the steps taken from inviting quotations to effecting payments thereof during the period 2021-22 for printing letter pads, which is marked as X1. Quotation as X1(a), Quotation received as X1(b), to whom the quotation is allowed as X1(c), work order as X1(d), Bill submitted by the institution for the work done as X1(e), decision to allow the bill amount as X1(f), Cheque slip as X1(g), Acknowledgement of the receipt of the cheque as X1(h), copy of the cash book as X1(i) are marked. He produced the budget book of Municipality, is marked as X2. When he asked whether the Nisha Kumari, who received the payment is the Councilor Nishakumari, he replied that he is not aware of it as the payment is made by the Accountant in the Account section of Municipality. Ambadi Printers is functioning within the municipality area, and the work order given to Ambadi Printers and not in the name of Nisha Kumari. There is no agreement signed by the Municipality with an individual, Nisha Kumari, Mudakaruvila, Koonayil. He denied the allegations that the office manipulated the entry in the Cheque Record Slip as "Nisha Kumari" after striking off the entry "Ambadi Printers."
11. PW3, the Manager of Kollam District Co operative Bank, Paravur Branch produced the copy of the Ext. X3 Cheque No.300143 dated 11.11.2021 for an amount of Rs.26500/-. The cheque was drawn by the






bearer. On the reverse side of the cheque one Nisha Kumari has signed. She produced the form for opening of account by Nisha Kumari P, Mudakkaruvila, Koonayil which is marked as Ext. X4 and the ledger folio of the account is marked as X5. But the details of how the cheque transacted can't be deciphered from the ledger folio. The cheque can't be drawn through the account, since it is meant for drawal of the subsidy.

12. PW4, the Public Information Officer under RTI and Superintendent of Paravur Municipality produced the questionnaire of the petitioner dated 26.11.2021 under Right to Information Act, which is marked as Exhibit-X6. The reply to the questionnaire dated 20.12.2021 marked as Exhibit-X7, and another query dated 03.01.2022 marked as Exhibit-X8 and reply dated 01.02.2022 as Exhibit-X9.
13. RW1, the respondent stated that the petitioner was unsuccessfully contested against her in the General Election 2020. She is not aware about the Municipality's invitation of quotation for printing of letter pads. She has not given any quotation to Municipality on 01.09.2021 or any other dates. He has not received any work order or cheque dated 07.10.2021 for Rs.26500/-. She denied the signature seen on the reverse side of Ext.X3 cheque. It is evident that as per Exhibit-X1(d) Municipality gave work order to Ambadi Printers and not to Nisha Kumari. She has no relation with Ambadi Printers. She has not entered any agreement with the Secretary of the Municipality. The petitioner may have fabricated the documents to prove his claim and put signature



similar to her signature in collusion with somebody else. There is no change in her address since 2000. But she has affirmed that her photograph in the Exhibit-X2, budget document and Exhibit-X4, the bank account with Co-Operative Bank, Paravur. She has not filed any petition alleging forgery of documents with any authorities. She denied the signature in the Exhibit-X5 and Exhibit-X1(e).

14. On evaluation of evidence in the record it appears that Paravur municipality invited quotation for printing and supply of letter pads for the use of Chairperson and Municipal councilors on 18.08.2021. In response to the said invitation three Printing Presses viz. Palazhi Printers, Ambadi Printers and Sreekumar Offset Press submitted their quotations for the printing and supply of letter pads to the Municipality. Palazhi Printers quoted Rs. 27350/-, Ambadi Printers quoted Rs. 26500/-and Sreekumar Offset Press quoted Rs.29000/- Among the quotations the rates quoted by Ambadi Printers, Koonayil Paravur,Kollam,-691 301, Phone No.9633077876 duly signed by Nishakumari P was the lowest. Therefore matter of accepting the quotation submitted by Ambadi Printers was placed before the Finance Standing Committee for approval. The Finance Standing Committee as per its decision dated 01.09.2021 approved the quotation submitted by Ambadi Printers. Accordingly Municipality by work order dated 05.10.2021 authorized Ambadi Printers to print and supply the required letter pads as per quotation. The work order dated 05.10.2021 is Ext. X1(d). As per the work order Ambadi Printers supplied the letter pads on 13.10.2021 along with a voucher for Rs. 26500/- dated 07.10.2021





issued by Amabadi Printers duly signed by Nishakumari. Thereby she discharged her obligation under the contract. The voucher (Cash Bill) dated 07.10.2021 is Ext.X1(e). From the file No. G2-9204/12 produced by Municipal Secretary it seems that the items supplied by Ambadi Printers was entered in the Stock Register. Then Municipality processed the file for effecting payment to the supplier- Ambadi Printers. Payment of Rs. 26500/- to Nishakumari was authorized by both Municipal Chairperson and Municipal Secretary on 21.10.2021. Thereafter Municipal Secretary passed for payment of Rs. 26,500/- by endorsing voucher No. 28. 11/2021 and cheque No. 300143 by drawer- Municipal Secretary on 11.11.2021. The certified copy of the cheque dated 11.11.2021 is Ext. X3. In this connection it is mentioned in the file that Nishakumari is the payee- the person to whom the cheque is to be made. That portion of the file is marked as Ext. X1(f). It is evident from the acknowledgment of receipt of cheque which is marked as Ext. X1(h) that Nishakumari has signed the receipt in token of receipt of cheque. The said transaction is seen duly entered in the Ext.X1(i) Cash Book also.

15. The Cheque No. 300143 for Rs.26,500/-dated 11.11.2021 was drawn in favour of the Kerala State Co operative Bank, Paravur Branch. The payee of the cheque -Nishakumari signed on the reverse side of the cheque and presented the cheque for payment. On such presentment Kollam District Co operative Bank honoured the cheque for payment. Thereby Nishakumari withdrawn an amount of Rs. 26,500/- from the Bank as evident from Record Slip which is Ext.X1(g).





16. Municipal Secretary of Paravur Municipality was examined as PW2. Municipal Secretary has produced the certified copy of File No. G2 - 9204/2012 being maintained in the municipality comprising a period from 20.09.2012 to 11.11.2021. The said file pertains to the printing and supply of letter pads to municipal councilors etc. From page No.22 of the file it seems that an amount of Rs. 4650/- was paid to Ambadi printers on 25.01.2020. From page No.24 of the file it further seems that an amount of Rs. 2700/- was paid to Ambadi Printers on 26.08.2020. It is evident that there are transactions between Ambadi Printers and Municipality in earlier occasions also.

17. In the chief examination the petitioner put a specific question to the PW2, Municipal Secretary that “ബില്ലി കൈപ്പറ്റിയ നിഷാകമാരിയും ഈ കേസിലെ എതിർകക്ഷി നിഷാകമാരിയും ഒരാൾ തന്നെയാണോ?” (Q)

To that PW2 answered that “ചെക്ക് നൽകുന്നത് അക്കൗണ്ട് സെക്ഷനിൽ നിന്നാണ്. അതുകൊണ്ട് അതേനിക്ക് പറയാൻ കഴിയില്ല.”

However in the cross examination the respondent put a question to PW2 that “അമ്പാടി പ്രിന്റേഴ്സിന്റെ ഉടമസ്ഥ എതിർകക്ഷി നിഷാകമാരി മുടയ്ക്കാരുവിള എന്ന് തെളിയിക്കുന്ന രേഖയുണ്ടോ?” (Q)

To that PW2 answered that “അമ്പാടി പ്രിന്റേഴ്സിന്റെ പ്രൊപ്രൈറ്റർ നിഷാകമാരിയാണ്. അത് എതിർകക്ഷിയാണോ എന്ന് അറിയില്ല.”

The respondent put another question to PW2 that Ext X 1 (g) “ചെക്ക് ബുക്കിന്റെ record slip ആണ് .ചെക്ക് 300143 ചെക്ക് അമ്പാടി പ്രിന്റേഴ്സ് എന്നെഴുതിയ ശേഷം നിഷാകമാരി എഴുതിയതായി കാണുന്നു .അത് താങ്കളുടെ ഓഫീസിൽ manipulate ചെയ്തതാണ് എന്ന് പറഞ്ഞാൽ നിഷേധിക്കാമോ?” (Q)



To that PW2 answered that “മാനിപ്പുലേറ്റ് ചെയ്തതല്ല .നിഷാകുമാരി വാക്കാൽ ആവശ്യപ്പെട്ടപ്രകാരം അങ്ങനെ തിരുത്തി നൽകിയതാണ്.”


18. Admittedly PW2 has taken charge as Municipal Secretary on 09.08.2021. He invited quotations for printing and supply of letter pads, he received 3 quotations including that of Ambadi Printers, he selected the lowest quotation of Ambadi Printers, he issued work order to the Ambadi Printers, he received the stock, he passed for payment to Ambadi Printers, he signed and delivered cheque to Nishakumari and Nishakumari issued acknowledgment of receipt in favour of Municipality, all things are done by PW2 during his term as Municipal Secretary. But he deliberately evaded from questions as to whether name Nishakumari mentioned in Ext. quotation, Cash Bill, cheque acknowledgment of receipt of cheque is the ward councilor Nishakumari or not. As a Municipal Secretary, PW2 must have aware of the fact that whether any Municipal Councilor has entered agreement or undertaken any work with Municipality and if any such instance, as Secretary of the Municipality he is obliged to make reference to the Commission under section 92 of the Kerala Municipality Act for determining the disqualification of Councilor. However, it is pertinent to note that either in chief examination or in cross examination PW2 has not denied the material question raised in the petition that the person named in these Exhibits is the ward councilor Nishakumari. If the person signed the quotation is not the councilor of municipality PW2 would have been stated the said fact vigorously before the Commission.






But due to his culpability in the transaction he was trying to save the councilor.

19. More over the deposition of PW2 that “മാനിപ്പുലേറ്റ് ചെയ്തതല്ല .നിഷാകുമാരി വാക്കാൽ ആവശ്യപ്പെട്ടപ്രകാരം അങ്ങനെ തിരുത്തി നൽകിയതാണ് .” shows that Secretary of the Municipality knows the person Nishakumari, who is having a fiduciary relationship with the Secretary.
20. Petitioner submitted Exhibit X6 application under Right to Information Act on 26.11.2021 before the State Public Information Officer of Paravur Municipality seeking information pertaining to the quotations submitted by the respondent for undertaking any work for the Municipality and details of payments thereof. The State Public Information Officer of Paravur Municipality supplied Exhibit X7 information on the said query on 20.12.2021.
21. The application dated 26.11.2021 submitted by the petitioner is Exhibit.X6 and reply dated 20. 12.2021 issued by State Public Information Officer is Exhibit.X7. State Public Information Officer was examined from the side of petitioner as PW4. The evidence of the PW4 goes to show that respondent Nishakumari has submitted quotation for the printing and supply of letter pads and received payments for the work done for the Municipality during her term as Councilor.
22. The Bank Manager of Kollam District Co operative Bank, Paravur Branch was examined as PW3. She deposed before the Commission that Exhibit.X3 cheque having No. 300143 dated 11.11.2021 for Rs. 26500/- drawn in favour of the Kollam District Co operative Bank, Paravur Branch was presented directly with the Bank by Nishakumari. Since it



was a bearer cheque Nishakumari signed on the reverse side of the cheque before presentation. Nishakumari is personally known to her as a Councilor of Paravur Municipality. Nishakumari is maintaining an account having No. 030041230220010 with the Kollam District Co operative Bank, Paravur Branch. PW3 has produced the Account Opening Form duly filled, signed by Nishakumari, at the time of opening of account with the Bank, which is marked as Exhibit X4. Exhibit X4 contains details such as address, phone number, photograph and signature of the Nishakumari. In Exhibit X4 the address shown is Mudakkaruvila, Koonayil, Paravur, phone No. shown is 9633077876. Photo and signature of Nishakumari also there in the Exhibit X4. Exhibit X5 is the Customer Account Ledger Report of account holder (account No. 030041230220010) Nishakumari for a period from 06.07.2021 to 23.09.2022. Petitioner has also produced the Budget of Paravur Municipality for 2022-2023 as Exhibit X2. Page 3 of Exhibit X2 Budget contains photographs of all the Councilors, including the Councilor Nishakumari.P. On comparison of Exhibit X4 with Exhibit X2 one can definitely conclude that both are one and same person. The evidence of the PW3 goes to show that Nishakumari, who undertaken the printing and supply of letter pads, Nishakumari who presented cheque having No. 300143 dated 11.11.2021 for Rs. 26500/- drawn in favour of the Kollam District Co operative Bank, Paravur Branch and Nishakumari, the account holder of account having No. 030041230220010 with the Kollam District Co operative Bank, Paravur Branch are none other than Nishakumari, the councilor of ward No.10 of Paravur Municipality.





23. Moreover on 18.04.2023 respondent appeared before the Commission and being examined as RW1. On her appearance, Commission convinced of the fact that the person seen in Ext. X4, Ext.X2 photographs and person appeared as RW1 are one and the same person. In the cross examination of RW1, deposed that

Ext X2 പരവൂർ നഗരസഭയുടെ ബഡ്ജറ്റ് രേഖയാണ്. ഇതിൽ മൂന്നാം പേജിൽ നിഷാകുമാരി എന്ന പേരിനൊപ്പം ഉള്ള ഫോട്ടോ എന്റേതാണ്. പരവൂർ നഗരസഭയിൽ നിഷാകുമാരി എന്ന പേരിൽ മറ്റൊരു കാൺസിലർ ഇല്ല. Ext X4 ൽ കാണുന്ന ഫോട്ടോ എന്റേതാണ്. അതിൽ കാണുന്ന ഒപ്പുകൾ എന്റേതാണ്. കൊല്ലം ജില്ലാ കോഓപ്പറേറ്റീവ് ബാങ്ക് പരവൂർ ശാഖയിലുള്ള എന്റെ അക്കൗണ്ട് സംബന്ധിച്ച് ഞാൻ നൽകിയ അപേക്ഷയാണ് എന്നെ കാണിച്ച Exhibit X4 രേഖ.


Thereby she admitted that the photographs seen in Exhibit X2 and Exhibit X4 are that of RW1-respondent.

24. The oral and documentary evidence adduced by PW2 to PW4 would go to show that name "Nishakumari" mentioned in all transactions with Municipality in File No. G2-9204/12 is that of Councilor of Ward No.10 Nishakumari, who is the respondent in this case. The documentary evidence adduced are contemporary records and maintained in regular course of business. The witnesses are the most competent to explain the transaction.

25. The respondent has no case that there is another Nishakumari in her address Mudakkarruvila, Koonayil, Paravur shown in the Ext.X4. Phone No. 9633077876 shown in Exhibits also indicates the involvement of respondent in the transaction.



26. In the objection filed on 26.04.2022, respondent has taken a plea that the documents relied on by the petitioner is created by petitioner through forgery and fabrication of records. Subsequently on 09 05.2023 respondent filed IA No. 40/2023 for sending the documents relied on by petitioner for Forensic Science Laboratory for expert opinion on the genuineness of her signature. Considering the delay Commission as per order dated 11.05.2023 dismissed the IA. Challenging the said order respondent filed WP (C) No. 21023/2023, which was dismissed by Hon'ble High Court vide judgment 27.07.2023 by upholding the order of Commission. Further, in cross examination respondent admitted that she has not filed any criminal complaint against the alleged forgery of documents purportedly signed by her. Moreover comparison of signature has not much bearings to the fact in issue involved in this case.
27. On evaluation of evidence on record in its entirety, it would show that petitioner has relied on the public documents produced by PW2 Municipal Secretary, PW3 Bank Manager etc. to substantiate his case. Petitioner has no access to the documents produced by PW2 to PW4 from their legal custody. Therefore no question of manipulation or forgery of the documents arise in the case. Further, all documents produced by PW2 to PW4 are certified copies of public documents and as such there is presumption as to the genuineness of certified copies as provided under section 79 of the Indian Evidence Act.
28. In the present case the identity of the respondent in the transaction in File No. G2-9204/12 established by the petitioner through oral and documentary evidence. There is clear and cogent evidence that





respondent has acquired interest in subsisting contract made with, and work being done for Paravur Municipality by undertaking the printing and supply of letter pads to the Municipality and thereby liable for disqualification under section 91 (1) (f) of the Act.


29. Section 91(1) (f) of Kerala Municipalities Act, 1994 is extracted for the analysis of the crux of the case.

**91. Disqualification of Councillors.**- <sup>68A</sup>[(1)] Subject to the provisions <sup>69</sup>[of section 92 or Section 178], a Councilor shall cease to hold office as such if he-

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

(f) acquires any interest in any subsisting contract made with, or work being done for the Government or the <sup>73</sup>[any Local Self Government Institution] except as a shareholder (other than a director) in a company or except as permitted by rules made under this Act <sup>74</sup>[or enters into the contract or work <sup>75</sup>[with the Local Self Government Institution] as a Convener of the beneficiary committee which undertake the project or work <sup>76</sup>[of any Local Self Government Institution], as per any rules made under this Act.]

**Explanation.-** A person shall not, by reason of his having a share or interest in any newspaper in which any advertisement relating to the affairs of the Government or the <sup>73</sup>[any Local Self Government Institution] may be inserted, or by reason of his holding a debenture or being otherwise concerned, in any loan raised <sup>77</sup>[by or on behalf of the Local Self Government Institution] concerned by disqualified under this clause;



30. The above provision creates an embargo on a Councilor of Municipality to hold Office if he acquires any interest in subsisting contract made with, and work being done for the Government or Local Self Government Institution. A Councilor of Municipality is not entitled to enter into contract or undertake any work with the Municipality. If he enter into contract or undertaken any work for the Municipality the disqualification under section 91 (1) (f) will be attracted and he cease to be a Councilor from that moment.

Therefore respondent has ceased to hold office as a Councilor of ward No.10 of Paravur Municipality and she has vacated the office of Councilor on account of her disqualification as provided under section 91(1) (f) of the Kerala Municipality Act. The respondent is also disqualified from being a Councilor of ward No.10 of Paravur Municipality.


In the result original petition is allowed.

Pronounced before the Commission on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2024.

Sd/-

A. SHAJAHAN

STATE ELECTION COMMISSIONER





**APPENDIX****Witness examined on the side of the Petitioner**

- PW1 : Sri. Sudheer Chellappan  
PW2 : Sri. Aji K.R.  
PW3 : Smt. Sujatha S.  
PW4 : Smt. Dhanya S.

**Witness examined on the side of the Respondent**

- RW1 : Smt. Nisha Kumari P.

**Documents produced on the side of the Petitioner**

- A1 : Copy of the Voters list in connection with M02005-Paravur Municipality  
A2 : Copy of the letter submitted by Sri. Sudheer Chellappan under RTI Act to the Information Officer, Paravur Municipality dated 26.11.2021 and the Reply from Secretary, Paravur Municipality dated 20.12.2021  
A3 : Copy of the letter submitted under RTI Act by Sri. Sudheer chellappan and its reply from the Information Officer, Paravur Municipality on 01.02.2022  
A4 : Copy of the work order in connection with printing of letter pad dated 05.10.2021  
A5 : Copy of the Cash Bill amounting to Rs.26500/- dated 07.10.2021

**Documents produced by Witnesses**

- X1 : Copy of file G2.9204/12, in connection with the approval of printing charges of letter pad to members of Paravur Municipality



- X1(a) : Copy of the Page No.24 of Exhibit-X1 inviting for Quotations in connection with the printing of letter pads to members of Paravur Municipality
- X1(b) : Copy of the Page No.25 of Exhibit-X1 showing the Quotation details mentioned in Exhibit-X1
- X1(c) : Copy of the Letter/ Agenda slip showing the approval of lowest quotation submitted by Ambadi Printers, Paravur
- X1(d) : Copy of the work order from Paravur Municipality, dated 05.10.2021
- X1(e) : Copy of the cash bill dated 07.10.2021 submitted by Ambadi Printers, Paravur
- X1(f) : Copy of the decision showing the approval of bill amount Rs.26500/- to Ambadi Printers
- X1(g) : Copy of the Record Slip showing the details of Cheque issued
- X1(h) : Copy of the Receipt dated 09.11.2021
- X1(i) : Copy of the relevant page of cash book showing the issuance of cheque amounting to Rs.26500/-
- X2 : Copy of budget 2022-23 of Paravur Municipality
- X3 : Copy of the Cheque No.300143 dated 11.11.2021 amounting to Rs.26500/-
- X4 : Copy of the Account Details of Smt. Nisha Kumari P. at Kollam District Co-Operative Bank for the A/c. No.030041230220010
- X5 : Copy of the Customer Account Ledger Report from 06.07.2021 to 23.09.2022
- X6 : Copy of the letter submitted by Sri. Sudheer Chellappan under RTI Act on 26.11.2021
- X7 : Copy of the letter issued to Sri. Sudheer Chellappan under RTI Act by the Public Information Officer, Paravur Municipality





- X8 : Copy of the letter submitted by Sri. Sudheer Chellappan under RTI Act to the Information Officer, Paravur Municipality dated 03.01.2022
- X9 : Copy of the letter No.G6-50/22 dated 01.02.2022 issued under RTI Act, to Sri. Sudheer Chellappan by the Information Officer, Paravur Municipality
- X10 : Copy of the documents issued along with the letter under rti Act (Exhibit-X9)
- X10(a) : Copy of the work order dated 05.10.2021
- X10(b) : Copy of the cash bill of Ambadi Printers amounting to Rs.26500/- dated 07.10.2021
- X10(c) : Copy of the cash bill of Ambadi Printers amounting to Rs.17300/- dated Nil

Sd/-

A. SHAJAHAN

STATE ELECTION COMMISSIONER

//True Copy//



**B. SURENDRAN PILLAI**  
Pen No : 101664  
**SECRETARY**  
State Election Commission  
Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram

