

**BEFORE THE KERALA STATE ELECTION COMMISSION,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

**PRESENT: SHRI. A. SHAJAHAN,  
STATE ELECTION COMMISSIONER**

**Thursday, the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of October, 2025**

**O.P. No. 30 of 2023 & O.P. No. 33 of 2023**

**O.P. No. 30 of 2023**

**Petitioner**

: Sri. Salimkumar,  
S/o Thankappan,  
Kaniyamparambil House,  
Olamattam, Thodupuzha P. O,  
Idukki District -685584

(The District Secretary, Communist  
Party of India, Idukki)

**(Adv. Sajitha S.)**

**Respondent**

: Smt. Soumya Anil  
W/o. Anil,  
Thattayathukudi House,  
Valara P.O. Challithodu,  
Idukki District -685561

(Member, Ward No.03,  
Adimaly Grama Panchayat)

**(Adv. Pradeep Kumar S.)**

O.P. No. 33 of 2023

**Petitioner**

: Smt. Renjitha R,  
D/o Rajendran,  
Mannappattil House,  
Machiplavu P. O,  
Idukki District -685584

(Member, Ward No.11,  
Adimaly Grama Panchayat)

(Adv. B. Vasudevan Nair &  
Praveen C. P.)

**Respondent**

: Smt. Soumya Anil  
W/o. Anil,  
Thattayathukudi House,  
Valara P.O. Challithodu,  
Idukki District -685561

(Member, Ward No.03,  
Adimaly Grama Panchayat)

(Adv. Pradeep Kumar S.)

**COMMON ORDER**

These original petitions are filed under section 4 of the Kerala Local Authorities (Prohibition of Defection) Act, 1999 for declaring that respondent herein committed defection and hence disqualified to continue as an elected member of Adimaly Grama Panchayat and also for declaring her as disqualified to contest as candidate in any election to the local authorities for a period of six years. Since common

questions of law and facts arise in both the cases, they are tried together, as OP No. 30/2023 as leading case.

2. The petitioners' case in brief is as follows; - OP No.30/2023 is filed by the Idukki District Secretary of the Communist Party of India (hereinafter CPI) and OP No.33/2023 is filed by an elected member of ward No.11 of the Adimaly Grama Panchayat for disqualifying the respondent herein, who is an elected member of ward No.3 of the same grama panchayat. Respondent was contested an elected as a candidate of CPI in the symbol "Ears of Corn and Sickle" in the General Election to the local authorities held in 2020. The Idukki District President of the CPI has recommended the symbol of the party to the respondent for contesting the election from ward No.3. After being elected as a member of panchayat respondent filed sworn declaration before the Secretary of the Grama Panchayat stating her political allegiance as member of CPI. On the basis of the same, the Secretary of the panchayat prepared a Party affiliation register, wherein also it is stated that respondent is an elected member of CPI, a constituent of LDF.
3. Altogether 21 elected members in the Adimaly grama panchayat. Out of which 11 members belonging to LDF, 9 members belonging to UDF and one member elected as independent. Thus, LDF got majority of seats in the panchayat. Immediately after General Election, Smt. Sherly Mathew of CPI (M) and Smt. Mary Thomas of INL, both belonging to LDF were elected as President and Vice President respectively of the panchayat. However, subsequently Smt. Sherly Mathew and Smt. Mary Thomas were removed from the posts through no confidence motion. In the subsequent election Smt. Sanitha Saji was elected as President. However, she resigned from the post subsequently.
4. Fresh election to the causal vacancy of President scheduled to be held on 12.04.2023 at 11 am. The LDF parliamentary party has decided to field Smt. Shiji Shibu as the Presidential candidate of LDF. Accordingly, the District Secretary of the CPI, who is the petitioner in

OP No.30/2023 issued whip to the respondent directing her to vote in favour of Smt. Shiji Shibu. The whip was served to the respondent through registered post. However, the whip was returned unserved to the sender with the postal endorsement "addressee refused". Therefore, the whip was affixed at the residence of the respondent in the presence of the witnesses. The copy of whip was also communicated to the Secretary of the panchayat as mandated under rule 4 (2) of the Rules. Respondent has sufficient knowledge about the existence of the whip issued by her political party.

5. In the Presidential election held on 12.04.2023, respondent who was directed to vote for Smt. Shiji Shibu stood as a candidate against Smt. Shiji Shibu with the support of UDF. Her name was proposed and seconded by elected members of the UDF. UDF had issued whip to its elected members to vote for the respondent. In the subsequent polling all elected members UDF voted in favour of the respondent. Respondent secured 11 votes as against 10 votes secured by the official candidate of LDF. Though LDF having majority in the panchayat the official candidate of LDF was defeated in the election by the conduct of the respondent. The conduct of the respondent was in collusion with opposite UDF. Respondent has defied the direction issued her political party and thereby voluntarily given up membership of CPI political party. Respondent committed defection and hence liable to be disqualified under the provisions of the Kerala Local Authorities (Prohibition of Defection) Act.
6. The respondent's case in brief is that; - It is true that respondent had contested and elected in the symbol "Ears of Corn and Sickle" as a candidate of CPI political party in the General Election to local authorities held in 2020. However, it is incorrect that District Secretary of CPI has recommended the symbol of party to her. However, respondent is not a party member of CPI and CPI is not a political party under section 29A of the Representation of People Act, 1951. Respondent had not violated the whip issued by the CPI. No whip was

issued to the respondent by CPI in connection with Presidential election held on 12.04.2023. It is true that respondent had contested the election held on 12.04.2023 to the post of President with the support of CPI and not as a candidate of UDF as alleged. Respondent has no knowledge about the decision of the CPI to field Smt. Shiji Shibu of CPI (M) as the Presidential candidate. Respondent had not voluntarily given up membership of CPI as alleged. She is still continuing as a member of CPI.

7. Respondent further submitted that CPI has only two elected members in the panchayat. Smt. Sanitha Saji one of the elected members belongs to CPI voluntarily given up membership of CPI, joined INC and became the President of the Adimaly grama panchayat. Respondent filed OP No. 18/2023 as petitioner before the Commission for disqualifying Smt. Sanitha Saji. Now respondent is the lone member belonging to CPI in the panchayat. It is incorrect that CPI has taken a decision to field Smt. Shiji Shibu as their candidate. CPI has not convened a meeting to decide the candidature of Smt. Shiji Shibu. Respondent has no knowledge about the alleged meeting since she has not been issued any notice in this regard by the party.
8. No whip has been served to the respondent either through registered post or by affixture at the residence of the respondent on 10.04.2023 as alleged. Respondent was not residing in the address during the period. From 21.03.2023 onwards respondent resided for several months in ward No.10 of the panchayat in a rented building.
9. It is false and incorrect that respondent contested Presidential election on 12.04.2023 as a candidate of UDF. In fact, in the absence of any whip from her political party she stood as a candidate in the President election. Since UDF has no winning majority to field a candidate, UDF voted in favour of the respondent. Respondent is still continuing as an elected member belonging to CPI and she has no intention to leave CPI or LDF coalition. Petitioner has no right or authority issue any whip to the respondent. Petitioner has no authority to file the petition. Petition



is filed under political pressure from CPI (M) political party. Petitioners are not entitled to any reliefs as prayed for and original petition may be dismissed.

10. The evidence in this case consists of oral testimonies of PW1 to PW10, RW1 to RW4 documents Ext.A1 to A10, Ext.B1 to B4 and Ext.X1 to X10.

11. Both sides were heard.

12. The following points arise for consideration, namely; -

- I. Whether respondent was aware of the decision of the CPI to field Smt. Shiji Shibu as the Presidential candidate of LDF in the election held on 12.04.2023?
- II. Whether respondent had disobeyed the decision and direction of the CPI in the Presidential election?
- III. Whether respondent has voluntarily given up membership of CPI as alleged?
- IV. Whether respondent has committed defection as contemplated under section 3 (1) (a) of the Kerala Local Authorities (Prohibition of Defection) Act?

13. Point No. (i) to (iv); - As common questions of law and facts arise for consideration on these points, they are considered together for convenience and to avoid repetition. Petitioner in OP No.30/2023 is the Idukki District President of CPI. Respondent is an elected member of ward 3 of the Adimaly grama panchayat. Respondent is admittedly contested and elected in symbol "Ears of Corn and Sickle" as a candidate of CPI political party. Petitioner in OP No.33/2023 is an elected member of the Adimaly Grama Panchayat representing ward 11. Therefore, petitioners in both original petitions are having *locus standi* to file the petition under section 4 of the Kerala Local Authorities (Prohibition of Defection) Act.

14. Respondent is admittedly contested and elected in symbol "Ears of Corn and Sickle" as a candidate of CPI political party. However, in the objection respondent has taken a case that she is not a party member

of CPI political party. It is pertinent to note that explanation to section 3 of the Kerala Local Authorities (Prohibition of Defection) Act, 1999 explicitly provides that for the purpose of section 3 of the Kerala Local Authorities (Prohibition of Defection) Act, 1999 an elected member of a local authority shall be deemed to be a member belonging to the political party, if there is any such party, by which he was set up or given support as a candidate for the election.

In view of the explanation to section 3 of the Act, respondent is deemed to be a member belonging to CPI political party. Therefore, the above contention of the respondent is not tenable.

15. Another contention raised by the respondent in her objection is that CPI is not a political party registered under section 29A of the Representation of the Act. There is absolutely no factual or legal basis for such a contention. Admittedly, respondent is an elected member belonging to CPI. Petitioner, through PW5 Secretary of the Adimaly Grama Panchayat produced the sworn declaration filed by respondent and Party Affiliation Register prepared by the Secretary of the panchayat on that basis as Ext.X4 and X5 respectively. Ext. X(a) and X5 would go to show that respondent is an elected member belonging to CPI, a constituent of LDF coalition.
16. It has come out in evidence that fresh election to the casual vacancy President scheduled to be held on 12.04.2023. The CPI political party and LDF coalition have taken decision to field Smt. Shiji Shibu as their candidate in the President election. Ext.A2 is the duplicate copy of the whip issued by the District President of the CPI to the respondent. Ext.A10 is the direction issued by Sri. C D Shaji, for LDF parliamentary party of Adimaly Grama Panchayat to the respondent directing her to vote in favour of Smt. Shiji Shibu in the Presidential election. PW1 District Secretary of the CPI categorically stated that he had served the whip through registered post in the residential address of the respondent, prior to the election. Petitioner examined the Post Master

of Valayara Post Office as PW8 to prove the service of whip to the respondent. PW8 produced Ext.X7 B O Journal and deposed that as per records a postal article addressed to " Smt. Saumya Anil, Thattayath Kudi, Valara P O" has been returned to the Sender on 12.04.2023 with the endorsement "addressee refused" on account of the refusal of the addressee to claim the article.

17.However, in the objection respondent has taken a case that from 21.03.2023 onwards respondent has been residing in a rental building at ward 10 for several months and not in the address mentioned in Ext. A2 or Ext.X7. In order to prove the same respondent produced Ext.B4 Rental agreement dated 21.03.2023 entered into between the respondent and one Thankkachan. However, respondent has not examined Sri. Thankkachan as a witness. Respondent has no case that the address mentioned in Ext.A2 is not her correct address. Respondent has no case that she has duly intimated the change of address to the post office or Grama Panchayat concerned or her political party. Moreover, in the vakkalath dated 18.06.2023 and objection dated 02.09.2023 respondent relied on the very same address "Thattayath Kudi, Valara P O". Respondent by necessary implication admitted the fact that the address mentioned in Ext.A2 is her permanent address.

18.In *Praveena Ravikumar V State Election Commission* (2023 (6) KLT 845) the Hon'ble High Court has examined the legal effect of returning the postal article either as addressee " unclaimed" or "refused" and held that, -

" If the notice sent to the correct address is returned either as unclaimed or as addressee left, the failure to serve the notice can only be attributed to the addressee and not to the sender" (Para 21)

Therefore, there is no legal infirmity in the service of whip to the respondent through registered post.



19. Petitioner has taken a further case that in addition to the service of whip through registered post, the whip has been served to the respondent by affixture at the residence of the respondent in the presence of witnesses, as provided under rule 4 (2). Petitioner examined PW2, who is the Adimaly mandalam Secretariat member of CPI. PW2 deposed that he was authorised by the District Secretary of the CPI to serve whip by affixture at the residence of the respondent. Accordingly, he along with PW3 Sri. C P Eldhose and PW4 Sri. Latheef undertaken the affixture of whip at the residence of the respondent at 10.04.2023 at 3 pm. PW3 and PW4 identified the Ext.A3 duplicate copy of the whip affixed. PW3 and PW4 have signed on the Ext.A3 as attesting witnesses. They supported the case of the petitioner. Ext.A4 series are the photographs taken at the time of affixture of whip. Further, the District Secretary of the CPI sought police protection to avoid any untoward incidents while affixing whip at the residence of respondent. Ext.X6 is the request in this regard submitted by the District Secretary of the CPI to the Police. Ext.X6 (a) is the receipts issued by the Adimaly Police thereof. PW6, the Additional Sub Inspector of Police, Vallathol deposed that as per the direction of SHO of Vellathuval Police Station, she along with PW7 SCPO Sri. Nishad attend the duty of providing police protection to the persons deputed by CPI for affixture of whip. PW6 identified Ext.X6 and X6(a) and confirmed its receipt. PW6 further identified her presence at the Ext.A4 photographs taken at the time of affixture. During the cross examination PW6 confirmed that the whip was affixed in their presence. PW7 SCPO and PW9 SHO corroborated the testimony of PW6. However, the consistent case of the respondent is that from 21.03.2023 onwards she has been residing in a rental building at ward 10 for several months and not in the address mentioned in ExtA3. All the witnesses who took part in the affixture of whip stated that respondent was not present at the time of affixture of whip and door was locked. However, respondent has no case that her change of

address was either informed to the CPI political party or the Secretary of the panchayat. Undisputedly, the Ext.A3 whip was sent in the permanent address of the respondent which relied on by the respondent in vakkalath and objection filed by her. Therefore, no legal infirmity can be attributed to the affixation of whip.

20. Petitioner further submitted that the copy of whip was communicated to the Secretary of the panchayat as mandated under rule 4 (2). In order to prove the same petitioner examined the Secretary of the panchayat as PW5. PW5 produced the Ext.X2 office copy of the whip issued by the District Secretary of the CPI. Ext.A6 is the acknowledgment of receipt issued by PW5.
21. Ext.X1 minutes of the Presidential election held on 12.04.2023 would go to show that all elected members of the Adimaly Grama Panchayat were present in the election. As already discussed, LDF having majority in the panchayat. Ext.X1 minutes further shows that the whips issued by Idukki District Secretary of the CPI and the District President of the INC were openly read out at the commencement of election meeting held on 12.04.2023 for the information of the elected members. UDF did not nominate any of its members as candidate for Presidentship, but supported the candidature of respondent. It is pertinent to note that District President of the INC issued whip to its elected members to vote in favour of the respondent. The name of the respondent was proposed by Sri. Babu P Kuriakose and seconded by Siyad Sulaiman, both belonging to opposite UDF. The name of Smt. Shiji Shibu for the post of President was proposed by Rubi Saji and seconded by Mary Thomas, both LDF members. Ext.X1 minutes further shows that all elected members belonging to LDF, except respondent voted in favour of Smt. Shiji Shibu in obedience with the whip. Respondent who was directed to vote for Smt. Shiji Shibu stood as candidate against Smt. Shiji Shibu with the support of opposite UDF. Admittedly respondent voted for

herself. The official candidate of LDF got only 10 votes. Respondent defeated the official candidate of the LDF.

22. The said acts of the respondent are nothing but a disloyalty, attracting disqualification under the Act. That conduct itself is sufficient to prove that respondents had voluntarily given up membership of CPI. There is also evidence on record that respondent acted contrary to the direction issued by her political party CPI. There is clear element of *quid pro que* in the transaction between UDF and the respondent. By the conduct of respondents, LDF lost governance of the panchayat, though majority in the panchayat. UDF with the support of respondents could effectively prevent the continuance of LDF rule in the panchayat. There are clear elements of collusion with UDF and shifting of political loyalty to UDF by the conduct of the respondents.
23. Respondent has raised a contention that she was contested for the post of President with the support of CPI. However, such a contention is not maintainable in view of the fact that District President of CPI himself has filed the original petition against the respondent alleging defection.
24. Respondent has taken a case that she still continuing as an elected member belonging to CPI and she has no intention to leave CPI or LDF. In *Ravi S Naik V Union of India* (AIR 1994 SC 1558) it was held that voluntarily giving up membership is not synonymous with resignation as observed by a Division Bench of Hon'ble High Court in *Shajahan V Chathanloor Grama Panchayat* (2002 (2) KLJ 451). In *Ravi S Naik's* case the Apex Court made it clear that "Even in the absence of a formal resignation from membership an inference can be drawn from the conduct of the member that he has voluntarily given up membership of the political party to which he belongs " In *Faisal V Abdulla kunhi* (2008 (3)KLT 534) learned single Judge of Hon'ble High Court has taken the view that the expression "voluntarily giving up of his political party" is not be equated with ceasing to be a member of his party by express resignation: it is to be inferred from the conduct

of the member. It was also held therein that the relevant date for deciding the question of disqualification is the date on which the member voluntarily gaining up the membership." Therefore, there is no substance in the said contention of the respondent.

25. In *Eruthavoor Chandran and Another V Kerala State Election Commission* (1018 (5) KHC 964) (DB) the Hon'ble High Court held that

"Where a member of a political party is aware of the decision taken by the political party, but had failed to act in accordance with the political directives, it would amount to voluntarily abandoning of the membership of the political party and he would be disqualified under section 3 (1) of the Act."

26. The Hon'ble High Court in *Rama Bhaskaran V Kerala State Election Commission and Others* (2018 (2) KHC 126) reiterated the position that a person, who is a member of a political party, which in turn is part of coalition, who acts against the interest of the coalition, or who has won the election with the support of members of rival coalition would be seen acting against the interest of his own political party, which has allied itself with a coalition.

27. In *Kihoto Hillohan V Zachillhu* ((1992) Supp 2 SCC 651, where the Supreme Court had explained the objectives of the 10th Schedule to the Constitution in the following passage: -

" Any freedom of its members to vote as they please independently of the political party's declared policies will not only embarrass its public image and popularity but also undermine public confidence in its which, in the ultimate analysis, is its source of sustenance-nay, indeed, its very survival. "Referring to the object behind the 10th Schedule to the Constitution of India dealing with disqualification on the ground of defection, it was held therein that," provision is to curb the evil of political defection motivated by lure of office or other similar



considerations which endanger the foundations of our democracy. The only remedy would be to disqualify the member."

28. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble High Court in various judgments, I am of the considered opinion that the respondent has failed to obey the direction of her political party in the election held on 12.04.2023 and also by her conduct voluntarily given up membership of CPI and therefore cannot be continued as a member of Adimaly grama panchayat.

In the result, both original petitions are allowed and the respondent is declared as disqualified for being member of Adimaly Grama Panchayat as provided under the first limb of section 3 (1) (a) of the Kerala Local Authorities (Prohibition of Defection) Act, 1999. The respondent is further declared as disqualified from contesting as a candidate in an election to any local authorities for a period of 6 years from this date, as provided under section 4 (3) of the Act.

Sd/-

**A. SHAJAHAN**

**STATE ELECTION COMMISSIONER**



## APPENDIX

### Witness examined on the side of the Petitioner

- PW1 - Sri. K. Salim Kumar
- PW2 - Sri. Thomas M. P.
- PW3 - Sri. C. P. Eldhose
- PW4 - Sri. P. M. Lathif
- PW5 - Sri. R. Nandakumar
- PW6 - Smt. Khadeeja K. B.
- PW7 - Sri. Nishad V.A.
- PW8 - Smt. Anjaly Gopi
- PW9 - Sri. Prince Joseph
- PW10 - Smt. Renjitha R.

### Witness examined on the side of the Respondent

- RW1 - Smt. Soumya Anil
- RW2 - Sri. Maneesh N.
- RW3 - Sri. P. K. Sajeew
- RW4 - Sri. Somesh

### Documents produced on the side of the Petitioner

- A1 - Copy of the register showing the party affiliation of panchayat members of Adimaly Grama Panchayat
- A2 - Whip dated, 05.04.2023 issued to Smt. Soumya Anil, member ward No. 03 of Adimaly Grama Panchayat by Sri. Salim Kumar, District Council Idukki, Communist Party of India
- A3 - Whip dated, 05.04.2023 issued to Smt. Soumya Anil, member ward No. 03 of Adimaly Grama Panchayat by Sri. Salim Kumar, District Council Idukki, Communist Party of India signed by whip affixture Witnesses P. M. Lathif and Eldhose C. P.

- A4 - Photograph of whip affixture.
- A5 - Copy of whip dated, 05.04.2023 issued to Smt. Soumya Anil, member ward No. 03 of Adimaly Grama Panchayat by Sri. Salim Kumar, District Council Idukki, Communist Party of India issued to Returning Officer, Secretary, Adimaly Grama Panchayat.
- A6 - Acknowledgement receipt No. 400532/DGCE04/GPO/2023/4089 of Adimaly Grama Panchayat.
- A7 - Copy of minutes of meeting for the president election to Adimaly Grama Panchayat held on 12.04.2023.
- A8 - Copy of register showing the Party Affiliation of Adimaly Grama Panchayat elected members.
- A9 - Copy of whip dated, 05.04.2023 issued to Smt. Soumya Anil, member ward No. 03 Adimaly Grama Panchayat by Sri. K. Salim Kumar, Communist Party of India, District committee Idukki.
- A10 - Copy of the whip issued to Smt. Soumya Anil, member ward No. 03 Adimaly Grama Panchayat by Sri. E. P. Shaji, LDF parliamentary party committee of Adimaly Grama Panchayat.

**Documents produced on the side of the Respondent**

- B1 - Copy of original petition filed in OP 18/2023 of State Election Commission by Smt. Soumya Anil.
- B2 - Copy of original petition filed in OP 19/2023 of State Election Commission by Sri. K. Salim Kumar.
- B3 - Petition for joint trial with affidavit filed by Sri. K. Salim Kumar in OP 19/2023 of State Election Commission.
- B4 - Copy of rent agreement between Sri. Mattanayil Veettil Thankachan and Thattayathukudi veettil Smt. Soumya, W/o Sri. Anil.

**Documents produced on the side of the Witness**

- X1 - Copy of minutes of meeting for the president election to Adimaly Grama Panchayat held on 12.04.2023.
- X2 - Copy of whip dated, 05.04.2023 issued to Secretary Adimaly Grama Panchayat.
- X2(a) - Copy of whip dated, 05.04.2023 issued to Smt. Soumya Anil, member ward No. 03 Adimaly Grama Panchayat by Sri. K. Salim Kumar, Communist Party of India, District Council Idukki.
- X3 - Letter dated, 12.04.2023 issued by Sri. Babu P. Kuriakose member ward No. 06 Adimaly Grama Panchayat to Secretary Adimali Grama Panchayat regarding the whip issued to Congress party Members of Adimaly Grama Panchayat.
- X4 - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Smt. Lincy Paily
- X4(a) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Sri. Babu K. J
- X4(b) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Smt. Soumya Anil
- X4(c) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Smt. Smt. Shiji Shibu
- X4(d) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Smt. Smt. Mary Thomas
- X4(e) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Sri. Babu P. Kuriakose
- X4(f) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Sri. Anas Ibrahim
- X4(g) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Sri. C. D. Shaji
- X4(h) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Sri. M. S. Chandran
- X4(i) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Sri. T. S. Sidhik
- X4(j) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Smt. Renjitha R
- X4(k) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Sri. Raju K. K
- X4(l) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Smt. Sanitha Saji
- X4(m) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Smt. Sherly Mathew
- X4(n) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Smt. Jincy Mathew

- X4(o) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Sri. Manesh Narayanan
- X4(p) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Smt. Ruby Saji
- X4(q) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Sri. Siyad Sulaiman
- X4(r) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Smt. Rekha Radhakrishanan
- X4(s) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Smt. Deepa Rajeev
- X4(t) - Copy of form No. 02 declaration submitted by Sri. V. T. Santhosh
- X5 - Copy of register showing the party affiliation of elected members of Adimaly Grama Panchayat
- X6 - Letter dated, 05.04.2023 issued by Sri. Salim Kumar, secretary Communist Party of India, Idukki District Council to Circle Inspector of Police, Adimaly, for police protection.
- X6(a) - Receipt copy of complaint dated, 10.04.2023 application No. 15294026-2023-05-00118 by K. Salim Kumar to Adimaly Police station.
- X7 - Copy of extract showing details of registered postal articles.
- X8 - Letter dated 05.04.2023 issued by Sri. K. Salim Kumar Secretary, Communist Party of India, Idukki District Council to Circle Inspector of police, Adimali, for police protection.
- X9 - Copy of general diary dated, 10.04.2023 of Adimaly police station
- X10 - Copy of status showing complaint details of complaint No. 18294026-2023-5-00118 of Adimaly police station.

Sd/-

A. SHAJAHAN

STATE ELECTION COMMISSIONER

//True Copy//

