

**BEFORE THE KERALA STATE ELECTION COMMISSION,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

**PRESENT: SHRI. A. SHAJAHAN,  
STATE ELECTION COMMISSIONER**

**Tuesday, the 5<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2025**

**O.P. No.47 of 2023**

**Petitioner** : Smt. Mini L,  
W/o. Yesudas,  
Raj Sadhanam Thettivila,  
Kalliyoor P.O.,  
Thiruvananthapuram District


(Member, Ward No.16,  
Kalliyoor Grama Panchayat)

(Adv. R.R. Sanjay Kumar,  
Bobby K. Joseph & Gayathri V. N.)

**Respondent** : Smt. Shanthimathi L.  
W/o. Vijayan,  
'Sarang'  
Vallomcode, Pallichal P.O,  
Thiruvananthapuram District

(Member, Ward No.10,  
Kalliyoor Grama Panchayat)


(Adv. Kulathoor S. V. Premakumaran  
Nair)



## ORDER


This is a petition filed under section 4 of the Kerala Local Authorities (Prohibition of Defection) Act, 1999 for declaring that this respondent committed defection and hence disqualified to continue as member of Kalliyoor Grama Panchayat and also for declaring her as disqualified to contest as candidate in any election to the local authorities for a period of six years.

2. The petitioner's case in brief is as follows; - Petitioner and respondent are elected members of Kalliyoor grama panchayat representing ward No.16 and 10 respectively. They were contested and elected as candidate of INC in General Election to the local authorities held in December, 2020. The District Committee President of Indian National Congress (hereinafter "INC") had recommended the symbol of INC to the respondent for contesting election from ward No. 10 of Kalliyoor grama panchayat as an official candidate of INC. After the election respondent filed a sworn declaration before the Secretary of the panchayat stating her allegiance to INC as an elected member of INC. On the basis of said declaration, the Secretary of the panchayat prepared a register showing the political affiliation of members and wherein also it is stated that the respondent is an elected member of INC. The certified copy of the Party affiliation Register is marked as Ext.A1.
3. Altogether 21 elected members in the Kalliyoor grama panchayat. Out of which 10 members belonging to BJP, 8 members belonging to CPI (M), 1 belongs to CPI and 2 belongs to INC. Thus, BJP got majority of seats in the panchayat. Sri. K. K. Chandu Krishna and Smt. Saritha V, both belonging to BJP, were elected as President and Vice President respectively.
4. While so, elected members belonging to LDF coalition moved no confidence motion against President Sri. K. K. Chandu Krishna and Vice President Smt. Saritha.V for removing them from the respective positions. The Authorised Officer of the Commission fixed the date of meetings to consider the no confidence motion as on 27.06.2023. The District President of the INC issued directions in writing (whip) dated 23.06.2023 to the respondent directing her to abstain from the meeting of said no




confidence motion. The whip dated 23.06.2023 is marked as Ext.A2. The whip was issued directly to the respondent on 25.06.2023 and obtained receipt thereof from the respondent. Copy of whip was also communicated to the Secretary of the Kalliyoor grama panchayat in the manner prescribed under rule 4 (2) of the Kerala Local Authorities (Disqualification of Defected Members) Rules. Respondent had sufficient notice of the direction of her political party.

5. However, contrary to the directions of her political party, respondent attended the meetings to consider the no confidence motion against the President and Vice President held on 27.06.2023 and voted in favour of the no confidence motion. Respondent defied the direction issued by her political party. Ext.A4 is the minutes of the meetings of no confidence motion held on 27.06.2023. Respondent by her conduct voluntarily given up her membership of the INC, who fielded her as a candidate in ward No.10 and joined the opposite LDF coalition. To act against the directions of the party is disloyalty. Respondent is now in LDF camp. The act of the respondent was to help the rival coalition LDF. Respondent committed defection and hence liable to be disqualified under the Act.
6. Respondent's case in brief is as follows; -It is admitted that petitioner and respondent are elected members of Kalliyoor grama panchayat representing ward No. 16 and 10 respectively. It is also admitted that petitioner and respondent were contested and elected as candidates of INC in the official symbol of INC. It is true that District Committee President of INC had recommended the symbol of the political party to the respondent for contesting the election. The party position in Kalliyoor grama panchayat as stated in para 7 of the petition is admitted. It is also true that after the general election Sri. K. K. Chandu Krishna and Smt. Saritha V, both belonging to BJP were elected as President and Vice President respectively of the panchayat. It is true that meetings of no confidence motion against the President and Vice President was held on 27.06.2023. To the knowledge of the respondent INC has taken no decision on its stand in the no confidence motion. It is false and incorrect that District President of INC had issued whip dated 23.06.2023 to the respondent. No whip was served on the respondent. Respondent has not issued any acknowledgment of receipt of whip as alleged. The signature



appears in Ext. A2 is not that of her. Respondent has not joined CPI (M) as alleged. She was elected as Vice President by majority of votes. INC has neither convened its parliamentary party meeting nor taken as decision on its stand in the President and Vice President elections. Respondent was not communicated the stand of INC in the elections. Respondent did not incur any disqualification as alleged and hence the petition may be dismissed.

7. The evidence in this case consists of oral testimonies of PW1 to PW5, RW1, documents Exts.A1 to A7 and Ext.X1.
8. Both sides were heard.
9. The following points arise for consideration, namely; -
  - i. Whether respondent was aware of the decision of the INC to abstain from the meetings of no confidence motion against the President and Vice President held on 27.06.2023?
  - ii. Whether respondent has disobeyed the decision and direction of the INC in the meetings of no confidence motion against the President and Vice President?
  - iii. Whether respondent has voluntarily given up her membership of the INC as alleged by petitioner?
  - iv. Whether respondent has committed defection as contemplated under section 3 (1) (a) of the Kerala Local Authorities (Prohibition of Defection) Act?
10. Points No. (i) to (iv); -As common questions of law and facts are arise for consideration in these points, they are considered together for convenience and to avoid repetition. Admittedly the petitioner and respondent are elected members of the Kalliyoor grama panchayat representing ward No.16 and 10 respectively. Both petitioner and respondent were contested and elected as candidates of INC in the General Election to the local authorities held in December, 2020. The District President of the INC had recommended the official symbol of INC "hand" to the respondent for contesting election from ward No.10 as a candidate of INC. After the election respondent filed sworn declaration before the Secretary of Kalliyoor grama panchayat stating her political allegiance as an elected member belonging to INC. On the basis of said declaration the Panchayat Secretary had prepared a Party affiliation




Register of elected members, wherein also it is stated that respondent is an elected member belonging to INC. Certified copy of said Party affiliation Register is marked as Ext.A1. There are altogether 21 elected members in the Kalliyoor grama panchayat. Out of which 10 members belonging to BJP, 8 members belonging to CPI (M), 1 member belonging to CPI, and 2 members belonging to INC. Thus, BJP got majority of the seats in Kalliyoor grama panchayat and accordingly Sri. K K Chandu Krishna and Smt. Saritha, both belonging to BJP were elected as President and Vice President respectively. The above facts are admitted by the respondent in her Objection.

11. As discussed above, BJP secured 10 seats, CPI (M) and CPI, constituents of LDF, together got 9 seats and INC got 2 seats in the panchayat. While so, elected members belonging to LDF moved a notice of no confidence motion against the President and Vice President, who belonging to BJP political party. The Officer Authorised by the Commission for convening the meeting of elected members of the panchayat for considering the no confidence motion against President and Vice President fixed the date and time of considering the no confidence motion as 27.06.2023 11 am and 2.30 pm respectively and issued notices to the elected members. The said fact is evident from Ext.A4 Minutes.
12. Whereupon, the District President of the INC, who had recommended the symbol of political party to the respondent, issued whip to the respondent directing her to abstain from the meetings of no confidence motion against the President and Vice President, which is slated to be held on 27.06.2023. Whip dated 23.06.2023 is marked as Ext.A2. According to the petitioner the whip was served directly to the respondent at her residence, through PW2 and obtained an acknowledgment of receipt on Ext.A2.
13. As regards of it, it is averred in the Objection filed by the respondent that to the best of her understanding no decision has been taken by INC as to its stand in the meetings of no confidence motion scheduled on 27.06.2023. There was also no meeting of parliamentary party of INC to discuss the stand of political party. No decision of INC vis-a-vis no confidence motion was communicated to the respondent prior to the meeting of no confidence motion. In the affidavit filed by the respondent as RW1, she disputed her signature in Ext.A2. However, there is no




averments in the Objection disputing the signature appears in Ext.A2, purportedly that of respondent. As a matter of fact, the document marked as Ext.A2 had been filed by the petitioner along with the original petition. But respondent failed to dispute her signature in Ext.A2 in the Objection filed. During the hearing respondent vehemently contented that the signature in Ext.A2 is entirely different from her admitted signatures available in Ext.A1 and Deposition as RW1. However, on such comparison it seems that there is no material differences in the signatures in Ext.A1, Ext.A2 and deposition as RW1. In cross examination, PW1 and PW2 categorically stated that respondent put her signature in their presence. Respondent failed to discredit the testimony of PW1 and PW2. As already discussed, there is no averments in the Objection disputing the signature in the Ext.A2 whip. It is fundamental principle of law that no evidence can be presented beyond the scope of pleadings. Therefore, the contention of the respondent in this regard is legally untenable.

14. Petitioner examined the District President of INC as PW4 to prove that decision had been taken in the political party as to its stand on the meetings of no confidence motion held on 27.06.2023 and whip was duly issued by him to the respondent on the basis of such decision. PW4 testified before the Commission that he was aware of the fact that LDF moved no confidence motion against the President and Vice President, who belonging to BJP and it was slated for consideration on 27.06.2023. In cross examination he further clarified that in the aftermath of said no confidence motion the District Congress Committee in its meeting held on 23.06.2023 had taken a decision to abstain from the meeting of no confidence motion and issued whip to the petitioner and respondent in that effect. PW4 further maintained his stand that INC is not having the required strength to overthrow or sustain the governance in the panchayat and therefore INC has taken a conscious decision to abstain from the meeting of no confidence motion. PW4 identified the whip issued by him to the respondent. PW4 further stated that the whip was served to the respondent through PW2, who is the then Kalliyoor Mandalam President of INC. PW4 further identified the Ext.A7, letter issued by him authorising PW2 to serve the whip to the respondent. PW4 further clarified that PW2 had served whip to the respondent and DCC




- has received the acknowledgment of receipt duly signed by the respondent.
15. The then Kalliyoor Mandalam President of INC examined as PW2. PW2 deposed that as per Ext.A7 authorisation letter issued by the District President of INC, he served whip to both petitioner and respondent. PW2 identified the Ext.A2 whip issued by him and signature of the respondent thereon in token of acceptance of whip. In cross examination PW2 clarified that Ext.A2 whip was served to the respondent on 25.06.2023 at 5 pm. PW2 further clarified that Kalliyoor Mandalam Committee of INC has also taken a decision to abstain from for the meeting of no confidence motion.
  16. The petitioner herein was examined as PW1, who confirmed that a meeting of elected members of the Kalliyoor grama panchayat and leaders of the political party was held on 21.06.2023 to discuss about the stand of INC in the no confidence motion. In pursuance of the decision in the meeting she received a whip issued by INC directing her to abstain from the meeting of no confidence motion. PW1 further stated that she was present at the time of serving the whip to the respondent by PW2. She clarified that respondent accepted the whip from PW2 by signing on the body of Ext.A2 whip in her presence. Therefore, there is enough materials on the record to establish that Ext.A2 whip was served to the respondent prior to the no confidence motion.
  17. The then Nemom Block panchayat Secretary, who was the Authorised Officer at the time no confidence motion held on 27.06.2023 was examined as PW5. He identified Ext.A4 minutes of the no confidence motion held on 27.06.2023. The recitals of Ext.A4 Minutes would go to show that two whips received by the Authorised Officer had been openly read out at the commencement of meeting of no confidence motion by PW5 for the knowledge of the elected members. There is no reference in the Ext.A4 Minutes as to the names of political parties which handed over the whip. PW5 failed to provide any useful information about the receipt of copy of whip. However, petitioner has produced the original receipt dated 26.06.2023 issued by the Nemom Block Panchayat Secretary as Ext.A3. Moreover, the PW3, the present Block Panchayat Secretary, Nemom produced the office copy of Ext.A3 as Ext.X1. Therefore, it can be inferred



from normal course of things that Ext.A3 whip was given to the PW5 Authorised Officer, who had openly read out the contents of whip before the commencement of meeting of no confidence motion and recorded the things in the Ext.A4 Minutes. Ext. A4 is undisputedly a contemporary document having high evidentiary value. Therefore, the only irresistible conclusion possible is that respondent was aware of the decision and direction of the INC prior to the voting on no confidence motion.

18. The consistent case of the petitioner as disclosed from the original petition is that respondent in violation of the whip issued by INC attended the meeting of no confidence motion held on 27.06.2023 and voted in favour of the no confidence motion moved by LDF coalition against the President and Vice President, who belonging to the BJP. It alleged that respondent by her conduct joined in CPI (M) and supported LDF coalition and sacrificed the interest of INC, in which respondent is an elected member. Respondent by her conduct voluntarily abandoned her membership of INC, which fielded her as a candidate. To act against the party and violating the party whip are against the will of the party and is disloyalty.
19. It is further come out from Ext.A4 Minutes that respondent participated the meetings of no confidence motion against the President held on 27.06.2023 at 11 am along with the elected members belonging to LDF. It seems from Ext.A4 Minutes that one of the elected members of BJP, Smt. V Sudharma also attended the said meeting of no confidence motion. The 9 elected members belonging to LDF, the respondent and Smt. V Sudharmma constituted majority in the panchayat and they supported the no confidence motion. Resultantly, the no confidence motion against the President and Vice President were carried with majority and the incumbents removed from the positions. In this connection the District President of BJP filed O P No. 46/2023 before the Commission for disqualifying Smt. V Sudharma based on the said cause of action arose on 27.06.2023, the date of no confidence motion. Respondent in cross examination admitted that she had participated in the meetings of no confidence motion against the President and Vice President held on 27.06.2023. She further admitted her signature in Ext.A4 Minutes. She further admitted that the other elected member of INC abstained from the meeting.



20. It has further come out from the admission of RW1 that in the subsequent election to the office of President held on 15.07.2023, the respondent supported LDF and voted in favour of the Presidential candidate fielded by LDF, Sri. Somasekharan Nair M, in defiance of whip issued by INC. In the Vice President election held on the same day respondent stood as a candidate of LDF and won the election with the support of LDF, in defiance of the whip. There is evidence on record to establish that respondent supported LDF in the no confidence motions held on 27.06.2023 and elections held on 15.07.2023. In *quid pro que* LDF supported the respondent in the Vice President election and she won the election with the support of LDF.

21. It is further established from the following testimony of the Respondent while cross examining as RW1.


" 15.07.2023 മുതൽ ഞാൻ പഞ്ചായത്ത് വൈസ് പ്രസിഡന്റാണ്. (Q) 27.06.2023-ൽ നടന്ന അവിശ്വാസ പ്രമേയ ചർച്ചയിൽ പങ്കെടുത്ത് CPM- ന് അനുകൂലമായി വോട്ട് രേഖപ്പെടുത്തിയതിന്റെ പ്രതിഫലമായാണ് താങ്കൾ LDF മുന്നണിയുടെ കമ്മിറ്റിയിൽ വൈസ് പ്രസിഡന്റായി തുടരുന്നത് എന്നു പറയുന്നു. (Ans) ഇപ്പോഴത്തെ പ്രസിഡന്റ് സോമശേഖരൻ ഞാൻ വ്യക്തിപരമായാണ് വോട്ട് ചെയ്തത്. അവരുടെ പിന്തുണയോടെയാണ് ഞാൻ വൈസ് പ്രസിഡന്റായത്. (Q) താങ്കൾ നിലവിലുള്ള പ്രസിഡന്റിന് അനുകൂലമായി വോട്ട് ചെയ്യുകയും കോൺഗ്രസ് അവിശ്വാസപ്രമേയവുമായി ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട് നൽകിയ വിപ് ലംഘിക്കുകവഴി കൂറുമാറ്റം നടത്തിയിരിക്കുകയാണ് എന്നു പറയുന്നു. (Ans) എനിക്ക് വിപ് നൽകിയിട്ടില്ല. ഞാൻ കൂറുമാറ്റം നടത്തിയിട്ടില്ല."

22. Further, in para 12 of the Chief affidavit filed by the petitioner as PW1, she affirmed before the Commission that

"എന്നാൽ 27.06.2023 - ൽ ടി എതിർകക്ഷി പാർട്ടി തീരുമാനത്തിനെതിരായി എതിർ പാർട്ടിയിലെ അംഗങ്ങളുമായി ഗൂഢാലോചന നടത്തി കല്ലിയൂർ ഗ്രാമപഞ്ചായത്ത് പ്രസിഡന്റ് തിരഞ്ഞെടുപ്പിൽ പാർട്ടി നിർദ്ദേശത്തിന് വിരുദ്ധമായി സിപിഎം സ്ഥാനാർത്ഥിക്ക് വോട്ട് രേഖപ്പെടുത്തുകയും കൂടാതെ വൈസ് പ്രസിഡന്റ് സ്ഥാനാർത്ഥിയായി മത്സരിക്കുകയും, വൈസ് പ്രസിഡന്റായി തിരഞ്ഞെടുക്കപ്പെടുകയും ചെയ്തിട്ടുള്ളതാകുന്നു"

There is no cross examination on the above statement of the petitioner.

23. In para 12 of the original petition, it is averred that a copy of the whip was communicated to the Secretary of Kalliyoor grama panchayat in the manner prescribed under rule 4 (2) of the Kerala Local Authorities (Disqualification of Defected Members) Rules, 2000. Further, the District President of INC during the chief examination as PW4 categorically testified before the Commission that copy of the whip was served to the



Secretary of Kalliyoor grama panchayat. However, there is no cross examination on this aspect by the respondent. Since District President is the authority responsible to communicate the copy of whip to the Secretary of the panchayat his testimony in the matter has much relevance. During the hearing also respondent has not agitated the matter before the Commission. It is well known that when a fact stated in chief examination is not disputed in the cross examination, it should be deemed to be admitted, as observed in *Mohd. Ibrahim and Ors V. Smt. Munni @Zainab Bee* (2007 (2) ALD 393 Judgment dated 03.11.2006 of HC of Andhra Pradesh (para 9).


24. The present petition is filed against the respondent based on the first limb of section 3 (1) (a) of the Kerala Local Authorities (Prohibition of Defection) Act. As discussed above there is enough material on the record that respondent has voluntarily given up her membership of INC by her conduct.

25. In *Chethamara K and Others V Kerala State Election Commission and Others* (2015 KHC 7086) the Hon'ble High Court held that

"43. It is trite law that, disqualification for voluntarily giving up of the membership of the political party to which one belongs is not dependent on any violation of whip. As such it is not necessary to hold that the member has violated the whip in order to conclude that he has voluntarily given up the membership of the political party to which he belongs. In the case on hand, indisputably the petitioners, who were the elected members of SJ (D) party in Chittoor Block Panchayat under UDF coalition opposed the no confidence motion moved against RW1 and defeated the said motion with the support of LDF members. It is nothing but an act of disloyalty and the moment the petitioners become disloyal to the political party by their conduct in defeating the no confidence motion with the support of the opposition faction, namely the LDF, the inevitable inference is that they have voluntarily given up their membership in the SJ (D) party"

26. Further, in *Biju P S and Others V Kerala State Election Commission* (2009 (3) KLT 29) the hon'ble High Court held that

"17. Under the Act, a member can be disqualified if has voluntarily given up the membership of the political party to which he belongs or acts in




defiance of a whip/direction issued by the political party. Disqualification of voluntarily giving up of the membership of one's party, is not dependant on the violation of whip. The intention of the Act is that the member who has violated the whip or has abandoned the membership of the political party to which he belongs shall be disqualified. It is not necessary to hold that the member has violated the whip in order to hold that he has voluntarily abandoned the membership of his political party. "

27. From the judgments cited above, it is clear that the disqualification of voluntarily giving up of the membership of one's political party, as laid down in the first limb of section 3 (1) (a) of the Act, is not dependent on the whip, but the disloyal conduct of the elected member towards her political party.

28. Further, in *Lissy Valsalan V Suja Salim and Another* (2015 (3) KHC 968) the Hon'ble Division Bench of High Court held that where a member of a political party is aware of the decision taken by the political party but failed to act in accordance with the political directive, it would amount to voluntarily abandoning the membership of the political party and he would be disqualified under section 3 (1) of the Kerala Local Authorities (Prohibition of Defection ) Act.

29. In reaching the above conclusion, the Hon'ble High Court had referred to the decision in *Kihoto Hllohan V Zachillhu* ((1992) Supp 2 SCC 651, where the Supreme Court had explained the objectives of the 10th Schedule to the Constitution in the following passage: -

"Any freedom of its members to vote as they please independently of the political party's declared policies will not only embarrass its public image and popularity but also undermine public confidence in its which, in the ultimate analysis, is its source of sustenance-nay, indeed, its very survival. "referring to the object behind the 10th Schedule to the Constitution of India dealing with disqualification on the ground of defection, it was held therein that," provision is to curb the evil of political defection motivated by lure of office or other similar considerations which endanger the foundations of our democracy. The only remedy would be to disqualify the member." The Father of our Nation had foreseen the possibility of such cancerous and endangering tendencies in



the practice of democracy and hence only the Mahatma said that politics without principle is a vice. No doubt politics is an art. But the beauty of the art is lost when no value is attached to the art. It is to check erosion of the values in democracy the 10th Schedule to the Constitution of India and the Kerala Local Authorities (Prohibition of Defection) Act, 1999 were brought into force"

30. In the present case there is evidence on record that respondent is aware of the direction issued by her political party. There is also concrete proof that respondent acted in defiance of the valid directions of the political party and supported the opposite political party in the no confidence motion and subsequent elections. There is also evidence that respondent has taken personal advantage out of her acts of floor crossing and shifting of political loyalty to opposite political party, by ruining the interest of her political party.
31. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble High Court in various judgments, I am of the considered opinion that the respondent has acted in defiance of valid directions of her political party in the meetings of no confidence motion held on 27.06.2023 and subsequent elections. Respondent by her conduct incurred the disqualification of voluntarily given up her membership of INC and therefore cannot be continued as a member of Kalliyoor grama panchayat.
32. In the result, OP is allowed and the respondent is declared as disqualified for being member Kalliyoor Grama Panchayat as provided under the first limb of section 3 (1) (a) of the Kerala Local Authorities (Prohibition of Defection) Act, 1999. The respondent is further declared as disqualified from contesting as a candidate in an election to any local authorities for a period of 6 years from this date, as provided under section 4 (3) of the Act.

Pronounced before the Commission on the 5<sup>th</sup> day of August 2025

Sd/-

A. SHAJAHAN

STATE ELECTION COMMISSIONER



## APPENDIX

### Witness examined on the side of the Petitioner


- PW1 - Mini L.  
PW2 - Jayan T.  
PW3 - P. R. Ajaykhosh  
PW4 - Palode Ravi  
PW5 - Suresh Kumar K. R.

### Witness examined on the side of the Respondent

- RW1 - Santhimathi L.

### Documents produced on the side of the Petitioner

- A1 - Copy of the register showing the party affiliation of the elected members of Kalliyoor Grama Panchayath.  
A2 - Receipt of the whip issued to Smt. Santhimathi L dated, 25.06.2023  
A3 - Copy of the letter showing the receipt of intimation of whip by Secretary, Kalliyoor Grama Panchayath.  
A4 - Copy of the minutes of the meeting held on 27.06.2023 at Kalliyoor Grama Panchayth in connection with the no confidence motion against President.  
A5 - Malayala Manorama daily dated, 07.07.2023.  
A5(a) - News report in Malayala Manorama daily dated, 07.07.2023  
(Relevant page No. 02)  
A6 - Mathrubhumi Daily dated, 07.07.2023.  
A6(a) - News report in Mathrubhumi Daily dated, 07.07.2023.  
(Relevant page No. 02)




A7 - Letter dated, 23.06.2023 issued by Palode Ravi DCC President, Thiruvananthapuram to Jayan. T, President Kalliyoor Mandalam Congress Committee.

**Documents produced on the side of the Witness**

X1 - Copy of the letter showing the intimation of whip issued to Returning Officer Kalliyoor Grama Panchayath

Sd/-  
A. SHAJAHAN  
STATE ELECTION COMMISSIONER

//True Copy//

  
PRAKASH B.S  
PEN No : 101452  
SECRETARY  
State Election Commission  
Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram

